

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/816,653	03/23/2001	Diane Pennica	10716-57/CURA233/GN1885R1 6857		
7	590 07/02/2002				
Paul E. Rauch, Ph.D. BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P. O. Box 10395			EXAMINER		
			NICKOL, GARY B		
Chicago, IL 6	0610		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1642	11	
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002	11	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/816,65	3	PENNICA ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Gary B. Nic	ckol Ph.D.	1642			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			orrespondence ad	dress		
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□		is action is	non-final.				
3)□							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-31 and 33-35 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-31 and 33-35</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or e	election requirement.				
	on Papers	_					
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine		attanta di la lavida a Fuer	i			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept						
44)□-	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	·		r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT neet .			

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-31, 33-35 are pending in the application and are currently under prosecution.

Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1640 is accepting a Fax Response for Written Restriction Requirements. The Fax number is 703-308-4315. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4, drawn to isolated polypeptides of one or both of SEQ ID NOS: 2 and4, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- II. Claims 5-8, 22-23 drawn to isolated polynucleotides, vectors, and host cells classified in class 536, subclass 23.5; class 435, subclasses 325, 320.1.
- III. Claims 9, 35 drawn to antibodies, classified in class 530, subclasses 387.1, 388.1.
- IV. Claims 10-15, 17-18, drawn to a method of treating tumors comprising modulating the activity of hSTRA6, wherein said modulation comprises

Art Unit: 1642

decreasing the expression of hSTRA6 via anti-sense or an aptamer, classified in class 424, subclass 93.2.

- V. Claims 10-12, 16-18, as specifically drawn to a method of treating tumors comprising decreasing the activity of hSTRA6, wherein said decreasing comprises administering to a cell an antibody, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.
- VI. Claims 19-20, drawn to a method for determining whether a compound upregulates or down-regulates transcription of a hSTRA6 gene comprising contacting said compound with a composition comprising an RNA polymerase, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- VII. Claim 21, drawn to a method for determining whether a compound up-regulates or down-regulates the translation of an hSTRA6 gene comprising contacting said compound with a composition with a cell, classified in class 435, subclasses 4, 7.1
- VIII. Claims 24-26, 34 drawn to a method of screening a tissue sample for tumorigenic potential comprising measuring expression of hSTRA6, classified in class 435, subclass 40.52.

Art Unit: 1642

IX. Claims 27-31, drawn to a transgenic non-human animal, classified in class 800, subclass 2.

X. Claim 33, drawn to a method of screening a sample for a hSTRA6 gene mutation, comprising comparing a hSTRA6 nucleotide sequence in the sample to or both of SEO ID NOS: 2 and 4, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The Inventions of Groups I-III, and IX represent separate and distinct products which are made by materially different methods, and are used in materially different methods which have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects.

The inventions of Groups IV-VIII, and X are materially distinct methods which differ at least in objectives, method steps, reagents and/or dosages and/or schedules used, response variables, and criteria for success.

The invention of Group III and the method of Group V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (I) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (ii) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product [see MPEP § 806.05(h)]. In the instant case the antibody product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as affinity chromatography.

Art Unit: 1642

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate

status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as

indicated is proper. Furthermore, because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given

above and the search required for one group is not required for another group, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Species Election:

Groups IV and V (Claim 18) are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species

comprising the following cancerous cells:

a) melanoma

b) breast cancer

c) colon cancer

The above species represent separate and distinct cell types with different morphologies and

functions such that one species could not be interchanged with the other. As such, each species

would require different searches and the consideration of different patentability issues.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally

held to be allowable.

Art Unit: 1642

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1642

ť

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-305-7143. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

> Gary B. Nickol, Ph.D. Examiner Art Unit 1642

GBN June 28, 2002

Gargante